arXiv:1511.05454v2 [gr-qc] 15 Feb 2016

Nikhef-2015-041

Spinning bodies in curved space-time

G. d'Ambrosi[a*], S. Satish Kumar[b†]

J. van de Vis[a,b‡], J.W. van Holten[a,b**]

February 16, 2016

Abstract

We study the motion of neutral and charged spinning bodies in curved space-time in the test-particle limit. We construct equations of motion using a closed covariant Poisson-Dirac bracket formulation which allows for different choices of the hamiltonian. We derive conditions for the existence of constants of motion and apply the formalism to the case of spherically symmetric space-times. We show that the periastron of a spinning body in a stable orbit in a Schwarzschild or Reissner-Nordstrøm background not only precesses, but also varies radially. By analysing the stability conditions for circular motion we find the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) as a function of spin. It turns out that there is an absolute lower limit on the ISCOs for increasing prograde spin. Finally we establish that the equations of motion can also be derived from the Einstein equations using an appropriate energy-momentum tensor for spinning particles.

[a] Nikhef, Science Park 105, Amsterdam NL

[b] Lorentz Institute, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 2, Leiden NL

* *gdambros@nikhef.nl* † *satish@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl*

‡ *jorindev@nikhef.nl* ** *v.holten@nikhef.nl*

1 Introduction

Relativistic dynamics is becoming increasingly relevant in astrophysics and cosmology, for example when it comes to understanding compact stars, black holes and gravitational waves. Since long it was realized that spin, i.e. the internal angular momentum of compact objects, can have important dynamical effects. As a consequence there is an extensive literature on the subject [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

In a recent letter [11] three of us presented a non-standard covariant description of spinning bodies in the test-particle limit. In contrast to the most-widely used approach of test-particle dynamics [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] it is formulated in terms of a covariant kinetic momentum rather than a canonical momentum which is not proportional to the four-velocity of the body. The price to pay is a world-line which does not always coincide with that of a center of mass; rather it follows the spin, with the result that there is a mass dipole describing the displacement between the two in the presence of curvature. There are several advantages to this formulation: it does not require constraints like the Pirani or Tulczyjew conditions, it can be used with a variety of hamiltonians and it makes the analysis of the motion of spinning test-particles in curved space-time more tractable; in some cases of practical interest exact results are obtained.

In this paper we continue to develop this formalism of spin-dynamics in the test-particle limit. It is organised as follows. In section 2 we provide a brief summary of the hamiltonian phase-space approach of reference [11], extending it to include also electric charge and electromagnetic fields. We proceed with the role of Killing vectors in constructing constants of motion in section 3. In section 4 the formalism is applied to motion associated with a minimal kinetic hamiltonian in static and spherically symmetric space-times of Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstrøm type. We analyse circular orbits in section 5 and find non-circular orbits by a perturbative construction in section 6. We also determine the effects of spin on the periastron and show that in addition to precessing the periastron also shifts radially. In section 7 the stability of circular orbits is analysed in detail to determine the radius of the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) as a function of spin. The stability conditions are found to impose an upper limit on the spin associated with the ISCO. Section 8 describes how to include Stern-Gerlach forces [17, 18, 19, 20, 21], both of electromagnetic and of gravitational origin, using a class of non-minimal hamiltonians. We show that they allow for an extension of all the constants of motion associated with the minimal hamiltonian and determine also the circular orbits for this case. In section 9 it is shown that the equations of motion we use can be derived in a different way from consistency of the Einstein equations with an appropriate energy-momentum tensor. Finally in section 10 we conclude with a discussion and summary. Some mathematical details have been collected in the appendices.

2 Covariant Hamilton formalism for spinning bodies

Test-particles are gravitational objects characterised by a finite number of degrees of freedom such as mass, charge and spin, of which the back reaction on space-time geometry can be considered negligible. Thus the phase space is finite-dimensional; it is spanned by the position x^μ, momentum π_μ and anti-symmetric spin-tensor $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$, with mass m and charge q constant parameters characterizing the strength of interactions. Whilst the four-velocity $u^\mu = \dot{x}^\mu$ is a time-like unit vector, the spin-tensor can actually be decomposed in a space-like vector Z^μ, the mass dipole vector, and a space-like axial vector S_μ, the spin proper:

$$\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} u_\kappa S_\lambda + u^\mu Z^\nu - u^\nu Z^\mu, \tag{1}$$

where

$$S_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\,\sqrt{-g}\,\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} u^\nu \Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad Z^\mu = \Sigma^{\mu\nu} u_\nu, \tag{2}$$

such that $S \cdot u = Z \cdot u = 0$. It is interesting to note that in addition one can define a third space-like vector

$$W^\mu = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} u_\nu S_\kappa Z_\lambda = \left(\Sigma^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu Z^\nu\right) Z_\nu, \tag{3}$$

orthogonal to the other ones:

$$W \cdot u = W \cdot S = W \cdot Z = 0. \tag{4}$$

Together (u, S, Z, W) form a set of independent vectors, one time-like and three space-like, which can be used to define a frame of basis vectors carried along the particle world-line.

We build our formulation of the dynamics on a set of covariant Poisson-Dirac brackets which are closed in the sense of Jacobi identies and independent of the specific hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{x^\mu, \pi_\nu\} = \delta^\mu_\nu, \qquad \{\pi_\mu, \pi_\nu\} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda} R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} + q F_{\mu\nu}, \\
&\left\{\Sigma^{\mu\nu}, \pi_\lambda\right\} = \Gamma_{\lambda\kappa}^{\ \ \mu}\,\Sigma^{\nu\kappa} - \Gamma_{\lambda\kappa}^{\ \ \nu}\,\Sigma^{\mu\kappa}, \\
&\left\{\Sigma^{\mu\nu}, \Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}\right\} = g^{\mu\kappa}\Sigma^{\nu\lambda} - g^{\mu\lambda}\Sigma^{\nu\kappa} - g^{\nu\kappa}\Sigma^{\mu\lambda} + g^{\nu\lambda}\Sigma^{\mu\kappa},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

all other brackets vanishing. The structure functions appearing in these brackets are the metric, connection and curvature tensor of the space-time manifold, with the electromagnetic field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ appearing as the structure function for the central charge q.

Next we have to specify a hamiltonian to generate the equations of motion via the brackets (5). The minimal choice is the kinetic hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2m}\, g^{\mu\nu}(x)\pi_\mu\pi_\nu. \tag{6}$$

Other choices are possible, and we will discuss a specific example in section 8. The equations of motion derived from the hamiltonian H_0 are

$$\pi_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} m u^\nu, \qquad m D_\tau u^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\,\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda} R_{\kappa\lambda\ \ \nu}^{\ \ \mu} u^\nu + q F^\mu_{\ \nu}\, u^\nu, \tag{7}$$

for the world-line, and

$$D_\tau \Sigma^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{8}$$

for the spin-tensor; here D_τ is the pullback of the covariant derivative on the world-line. It follows that the world-line is a curve on which the spin-tensor is covariantly constant. This does not hold for the vectors S and Z individually as they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} mD_\tau S_\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\,\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta\ \sigma}^{\ \ \nu} + qF^\nu_{\ \sigma}\right)u^\sigma, \\ mD_\tau Z^\mu &= \Sigma^{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}R_{\kappa\lambda\nu\sigma} + qF_{\nu\sigma}\right)u^\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Comparing with the conventional analysis of spinning particle dynamics [4, 5, 7] it is seen that the constraint on the mass dipole (e.g. the Pirani condition [22]) has been replaced here by a proper equation of motion. As a result in our formulation of classical relativistic mechanics the mass-dipole Z cannot vanish permanently, except in flat field-free Minkowski space-time.[1] However it is easily established that the two approaches can be made to agree to linear order in the spin tensor.

3 Constants of motion

In the hamiltonian formalism constants of motion are found by requiring its bracket with the hamiltonian to vanish. There are three generic constants of motion for any space-time geometry. First the hamiltonian itself, which defines the particle mass:

$$H_0 = -\frac{m}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = -1. \tag{10}$$

In addition there are two constants of motion for the spin: the total spin

$$I = \frac{1}{2}\,g_{\mu\kappa}g_{\nu\lambda}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda} = S\cdot S + Z\cdot Z, \tag{11}$$

and the pseudo-scalar product

$$D = \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{-g}\,\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda} = S\cdot Z. \tag{12}$$

Furthermore there are constants of motion depending on the symmetries of the geometry. They are constructed in terms of Killing vectors and tensors. In particular constants of motion J of the form

$$J = \gamma + \alpha^\mu\pi_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\,\beta_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}, \tag{13}$$

[1] However one can have $Z^\mu = 0$ in pseudo-classical models in which the spin-tensor is represented in terms of Grassmann variables $\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = i\psi^\mu\psi^\nu$, because of conservation of the supercharge $Q = \psi^\mu\pi_\mu$ [23, 24, 25].

exist if

$$\nabla_\mu \alpha_\nu + \nabla_\nu \alpha_\mu = 0, \quad \nabla_\lambda \beta_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\alpha^\kappa, \quad \partial_\mu \gamma = q F_{\mu\nu}\alpha^\nu. \tag{14}$$

Thus α^μ is a Killing vector and $\beta_{\mu\nu}$ its curl:

$$\beta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_\mu \alpha_\nu - \nabla_\nu \alpha_\mu\right), \tag{15}$$

whilst a solution for γ can be found if the Lie-derivative of the vector potential with respect to α vanishes:

$$\alpha^\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha^\nu A_\nu = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = q A_\mu \alpha^\mu. \tag{16}$$

This requirement in fact states that the electromagnetic and gravitational fields must both exhibit the same symmetries for an associated constant of motion to exist.

4 Spherical symmetry

There are few static solutions of the Einstein equations possessing spherical symmetry. The most relevant ones are the Minkowski and Schwarzschild geometries. In addition the Reissner-Nordstrøm geometry is a static and spherically symmetric solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations. In these symmetric space-times the orbital angular momentum combines with the spin to create a conserved total angular momentum. In this section we consider the exterior Reissner-Nordstrøm geometry of an electrically charged massive spherical body and its reduction to Schwarzschild space-time in the limit of vanishing charge.

The standard form of the Schwarzschild-Reissner-Nordstrøm metric is represented by the line element

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2\theta \, d\varphi^2. \tag{17}$$

Here M is the mass and Q is the charge of the source creating the space-time curvature as well as a static electric Coulomb field

$$A = A_\mu dx^\mu = -\frac{Q}{r}\, dt, \qquad \frac{1}{2}\, F = dA = \frac{Q}{r^2}\, dr \wedge dt. \tag{18}$$

The components of the connection and curvature tensor are collected in appendix A. In the line element (17) t and φ are cyclic co-ordinates implying simple constant Killing vectors for time translations and rotations around the z-axis. Two more Killing vectors exist generating rotations around the two other axes. As also the Coulomb potential is static and spherically symmetric we obtain four constants of motion:
- the particle energy

$$E = -\pi_t + \frac{qQ}{r} - \left(\frac{M}{r^2} - \frac{Q^2}{r^3}\right)\Sigma^{tr}, \tag{19}$$

with $Q = 0$ in Schwarzschild space-time;
- and the 3-vector of total angular momentum

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= -\sin\varphi\,\pi_\theta - \cot\theta\cos\varphi\,\pi_\varphi \\ &\quad -r\sin\varphi\,\Sigma^{r\theta} - r\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\varphi\,\Sigma^{r\varphi} + r^2\sin^2\theta\cos\varphi\,\Sigma^{\theta\varphi}, \\ J_2 &= \cos\varphi\,\pi_\theta - \cot\theta\sin\varphi\,\pi_\varphi \\ &\quad +r\cos\varphi\,\Sigma^{r\theta} - r\sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\varphi\,\Sigma^{r\varphi} + r^2\sin^2\theta\sin\varphi\,\Sigma^{\theta\varphi}, \\ J_3 &= \pi_\varphi + r\sin^2\theta\,\Sigma^{r\varphi} + r^2\sin\theta\cos\theta\,\Sigma^{\theta\varphi}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The analysis of the equations of motion can be simplified considerably by using the spherical symmetry to fix the direction of the total angular momentum to be the z-axis

$$\mathbf{J} = (0, 0, J), \quad J = mr^2u^\varphi + r\Sigma^{r\varphi}, \tag{21}$$

resulting in

$$\Sigma^{r\theta} = -mru^\theta, \quad \Sigma^{\theta\varphi} = \frac{J}{r^2}\cot\theta. \tag{22}$$

Clearly this choice does not fix the motion to be in the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, as the spin and orbital angular momentum are not necessarily aligned; indeed it is possible to have precession of both the spin and the orbital angular momentum, making the plane of the orbit precess [26] as well.

5 Circular orbits

In a Schwarzschild-Reissner-Nordstrøm background planar motion requires alignment of the spin and orbital angular momentum. In this section we consider in particular the conditions for plane circular motion. The analysis is most conveniently done by taking the plane of motion to be the equatorial plane, which implies $\theta = \pi/2$ and $u^\theta = 0$ as well as $\dot{u}^\theta = 0$. As a result

$$\Sigma^{t\theta} = \Sigma^{r\theta} = \Sigma^{\theta\varphi} = 0. \tag{23}$$

From the definition (12) it follows that such orbits satisfy the conservation law

$$D = S \cdot Z = 0. \tag{24}$$

For circular motion we additionally impose $r = R =$ constant and $u^r = \dot{u}^r = 0$.

Using the equation of motion (7) for the r-co-ordinate, the latter condition leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(2MR^3-3M^2R^2-3R^2Q^2+6MRQ^2-2Q^4\right)\left(R^2-2MR+Q^2\right)u^{t\,2}\\&\quad-\left(R^2-3MR+2Q^2\right)\left(MR-Q^2\right)R^4u^{\varphi\,2}=\\&\left[\left(2MR-3Q^2\right)\varepsilon-\left(MR-2Q^2\right)\frac{qQ}{mR}\right]\left(R^2-2MR+Q^2\right)R^2u^t+\left(MR-Q^2\right)^2\eta R^2u^\varphi,\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

where $\varepsilon = E/m$ and $\eta = J/m$ are the particle energy and angular momentum per unit of mass. The hamiltonian constraint (10) for circular orbits becomes

$$\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}+\frac{Q^2}{R^2}\right)u^{t\,2}=1+R^2u^{\varphi\,2}.\tag{26}$$

Hence (25) and (26) constitute two independent equations for u^t and u^φ in terms of (M, Q, R) and (ε, η); therefore u^t and u^φ are constant on circular orbits:

$$\dot{u}^t=\dot{u}^\varphi=0.\tag{27}$$

As a result on circular orbits the equations of motion for u^t and u^φ reduce to

$$mg_{tt}\dot{u}^t=\Sigma^{t\varphi}R_{t\varphi t\varphi}u^\varphi=0,\quad mg_{\varphi\varphi}\dot{u}^\varphi=\Sigma^{t\varphi}R_{t\varphi t\varphi}u^t=0,\tag{28}$$

implying that $\Sigma^{t\varphi}=0$. This in turn also requires $\dot{\Sigma}^{t\varphi}=0$, or

$$\left(MR-Q^2\right)u^t\Sigma^{r\varphi}+\left(R^2-2MR+Q^2\right)u^\varphi\Sigma^{tr}=0.\tag{29}$$

Using the conservation laws for E and J this can be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(MR-Q^2\right)^2\eta u^t-\left(R^2-2MR+Q^2\right)\left(\varepsilon-\frac{qQ}{mR}\right)R^4u^\varphi\\&\qquad+\left(R^2-3MR+2Q^2\right)\left(R-M\right)R^3u^tu^\varphi=0.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

As this is yet another equation between (u^t, u^φ) and the constants of motion, it fixes a relation between the energy $E = m\varepsilon$ and total angular momentum $J = m\eta$ for a given circle $r = R$. In fact, we can now eliminate η between eqs. (25) and (30), using the constraint (26), to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(R^3-3MR^2+3M^2R-MQ^2\right)u^{t\,3}-\left(R^2-3MR+2Q^2\right)Ru^t\\&\quad=-R^3\left(\varepsilon-\frac{qQ}{mR}\right)+\left[\left(R^2-2Q^2\right)\varepsilon-\left(R^2-MR-Q^2\right)\frac{qQ}{mR}\right]Ru^{t\,2}.\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

For the case of Schwarzschild geometry we take $Q = 0$ to get

$$\varepsilon R^2\left(1-u^{t\,2}\right)=R(R-3M)u^t-\left(R^2-3MR+3M^2\right)u^{t\,3}.\tag{32}$$

It is straightforward to check that in the spinless case with

$$u^t = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \frac{2M}{R}}, \tag{33}$$

this relation reduces to the standard result

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1 - \frac{2M}{R}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{3M}{R}}}, \tag{34}$$

for circular orbits.

Having solved eq. (31) —or (32) if appropriate— one can then also compute the orbital angular momentum per unit of mass from the hamiltonian constraint:

$$\ell^2 = R^4 u^{\varphi\,2} = \left(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2\right) u^{t\,2} - R^2. \tag{35}$$

For neutral particles ($q = 0$) instead of eliminating η and u^φ from eqs. (25) and (30), one can alternatively eliminate ε and u^t to get a relation for the total angular momentum per unit of mass η and the proper angular velocity u^φ:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta \left(MR - Q^2\right)^2 \left[2MR - 3Q^2 + R^2 u^{\varphi\,2} \left(R^2 - 2Q^2\right)\right] \\
&\quad = R^2 u^\varphi \left(M^2R^4 - 2M^2R^2Q^2 - 2MR^3Q^2 + R^2Q^4 + 4MRQ^4 - 2Q^6\right) \\
&\qquad - R^4 u^{\varphi\,3} \left(MR^5 - 6M^2R^4 + 6M^3R^3 - R^4Q^2 + 10MR^3Q^2 - 11M^2R^2Q^2\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. - 4R^2Q^4 + 5MRQ^4\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

In Schwarzschild space-time this reduces to the result quoted in ref. [11]:

$$\frac{\eta}{M}\left(2 + \frac{R^3 u^{\varphi\,2}}{M}\right) = \frac{R^3 u^\varphi}{M^2}\left[1 - \frac{R^3 u^{\varphi\,2}}{M}\left(1 - \frac{6M}{R} + \frac{6M^2}{R^2}\right)\right]. \tag{37}$$

6 Plane non-circular orbits

In General Relativity the standard procedure for comparing geodesics is the method of geodesic deviations. It is based on a covariant definition of differences between geometric quantities associated with geodesics, like the unit tangent vectors defining the proper four-velocities of test particles. The procedure can be generalized to world-lines of particles carrying charge and/or spin as follows.

Consider two solutions $(x^\mu(\tau), u^\mu(\tau), \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(\tau))$ and $(\bar{x}^\mu(\tau), \bar{u}^\mu(\tau), \bar{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu}(\tau))$ of the equations of motion (7) and (8). The direct differences between dynamical quantities on each world-line at equal proper time τ are denoted by δ:

$$\delta X(\tau) = \bar{X}(\tau) - X(\tau), \tag{38}$$

for any $X = (x^\mu, u^\mu, \Sigma^{\mu\nu})$. As the co-ordinates x^μ are space-time scalars, the velocities u^μ space-time vectors and the spin-dipoles $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$ space-time tensors, we can define their covariant differences by parallel displacement:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta x^\mu(\tau) = \delta x^\mu(\tau), \quad \Delta u^\mu = \delta u^\mu(\tau) + \delta x^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}{}^\mu u^\nu, \\ &\Delta\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = \delta\Sigma^{\mu\nu} + \delta x^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\kappa}{}^\mu \Sigma^{\kappa\nu} + \delta x^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\kappa}{}^\nu \Sigma^{\mu\kappa}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The equations of motion now imply equations for the proper-time dependence of these covariant variations; to linear order:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta u^\mu = D_\tau \Delta x^\mu, \\ &D_\tau^2 \Delta x^\mu - R_{\lambda\kappa}{}^\mu{}_\nu u^\kappa u^\nu \Delta x^\lambda = \frac{1}{2m}\,\Sigma^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma}{}^\mu{}_\nu\, D_\tau \Delta x^\nu + \frac{1}{2m}\,\Sigma^{\rho\sigma} \nabla_\lambda R_{\rho\sigma}{}^\mu{}_\nu u^\nu \Delta x^\lambda \\ &\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta\Sigma^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma}{}^\mu{}_\nu u^\nu + \frac{q}{m}\,F^\mu{}_\nu D_\tau \Delta x^\nu + \frac{q}{m}\,\nabla_\lambda F^\mu{}_\nu\, u^\nu \Delta x^\lambda, \\ &D_\tau \Delta\Sigma^{\mu\nu} + \left(R_{\lambda\kappa\sigma}{}^\mu \Sigma^{\sigma\nu} - R_{\lambda\kappa\sigma}{}^\nu \Sigma^{\sigma\mu}\right) u^\kappa \Delta x^\lambda = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The formalism, eventually with higher-order extensions [27, 28, 29], can be applied to a perturbative construction of world-lines starting from a known solution of the equations of motion. The circular orbits found in the previous section define such a starting point to construct eccentric planar or non-planar bound orbits in Schwarzschild-Reissner-Norstrøm backgrounds. However, computationally it is simpler to work with the non-covariant variations (38) rather than the covariant ones (39).

Considering eccentric orbits in the equatorial plane we keep the conditions (23, 24). With these restrictions the allowed deviations from circular orbits can be parametrised by $\delta x^\mu = (\delta t, \delta r, \delta\varphi)$ for the orbital and $\delta\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = (\delta\Sigma^{tr}, \delta\Sigma^{r\varphi}, \delta\Sigma^{t\varphi})$ for the spin degrees of freedom. Now using the conservation laws the variations $\delta\Sigma^{tr}$ and $\delta\Sigma^{r\varphi}$ can equivalently be expressed by the change in energy $\delta\varepsilon$ and total angular momentum $\delta\eta$ and the co-ordinate variations. In a condensed notation the relevant linearised deviation equations (40) then reduce to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} & 0 & \alpha\frac{d}{d\tau} & \beta \\ 0 & \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} & \gamma\frac{d}{d\tau} & \zeta \\ \kappa\frac{d}{d\tau} & \lambda\frac{d}{d\tau} & \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \mu & 0 \\ \nu\frac{d}{d\tau} & \sigma\frac{d}{d\tau} & \chi & \frac{d}{d\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta t \\ \delta\varphi \\ \delta r \\ \delta\Sigma^{t\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ a\delta\eta + b\delta\varepsilon \\ c\delta\eta + d\delta\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

where the coefficients are defined in terms of the parameters of the circular reference orbit; the explicit expressions are given in appendix B.

The general solution of the inhomogenous linear equations (41) can be decomposed in a specific solution plus a solution of the homogeneous equation. Now it is easy to find a simple specific solution: a constant shift δr such that the new circular orbit has the same energy $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon + \delta\varepsilon$ and total angular momentum $\eta' = \eta + \delta\eta$ as the non-circular orbit we wish to construct. Hence by taking this special circular orbit as the reference orbit we fix $\delta\varepsilon = \delta\eta = 0$, and we only have to solve the homogeneous equation (41).

To solve the homogeneous equation, we consider the characteristic equation for the periodic eigenfunctions of the operator (41):

$$\omega^3 \left(\omega^4 - A\omega^2 + B\right) = 0, \tag{42}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \mu - \alpha\kappa - \beta\nu - \gamma\lambda - \zeta\sigma, \\ B &= \beta\left(\kappa\chi - \mu\nu + \gamma(\lambda\nu - \kappa\sigma)\right) + \zeta\left(\lambda\chi - \mu\sigma - \alpha(\lambda\nu - \kappa\sigma)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

In addition to three 0-modes for potential secular solutions familiar from the motion of spinless particles [27, 28] there are two pairs of non-trivial periodic solutions with angular frequencies

$$\omega_\pm^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(A \pm \sqrt{A^2 - 4B}\right). \tag{44}$$

These periodic solutions can be cast in the simple form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta t &= n_+^t \sin\omega_+(\tau - \tau_+) + n_-^t \sin\omega_-(\tau - \tau_-), \\ \delta\varphi &= n_+^\varphi \sin\omega_+(\tau - \tau_+) + n_-^\varphi \sin\omega_-(\tau - \tau_-), \\ \delta r &= n_+^r \cos\omega_+(\tau - \tau_+) + n_-^r \cos\omega_-(\tau - \tau_-), \\ \delta\Sigma^{t\varphi} &= n_+^\sigma \sin\omega_+(\tau - \tau_+) + n_-^\sigma \sin\omega_-(\tau - \tau_-), \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $\tau_\pm$ are constants of integration determining the relative phases of the oscillations, and up to some common normalization constants $C_\pm$ the amplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned} n_\pm^t &= C_\pm\left[\lambda\left(\beta\gamma - \alpha\zeta\right) + \beta\left(\omega_\pm^2 - \mu\right)\right], \\ n_\pm^\varphi &= C_\pm\left[-\kappa\left(\beta\gamma - \alpha\zeta\right) + \zeta\left(\omega_\pm^2 - \mu\right)\right], \\ n_\pm^r &= C_\pm\,\omega_\pm\left(\beta\kappa + \zeta\lambda\right), \\ n_\pm^\sigma &= C_\pm\,\omega_\pm^2\left(\omega_\pm^2 - \mu + \alpha\kappa + \gamma\lambda\right). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The null solutions of eq. (42) suggest that in addition to the periodic solutions (45) there might also be secular solutions for the orbital degrees of freedom [27]. However, as we have chosen the energy and total angular momentum of the orbit to be the same as that

of the circular reference orbit no such freedom is left in this case, except for trivial shifts in the origin of the t- and φ-co-ordinates. Therefore the complete first-order solution for the non-circular planar orbits is

$$\begin{aligned} t(\tau) &= u^t\tau + n^t_+ \sin\omega_+(\tau-\tau_+) + n^t_- \sin\omega_-(\tau-\tau_-),\\ \varphi(\tau) &= u^\varphi\tau + n^\varphi_+ \sin\omega_+(\tau-\tau_+) + n^\varphi_- \sin\omega_-(\tau-\tau_-),\\ r(\tau) &= R + n^r_+ \cos\omega_+(\tau-\tau_+) + n^r_- \cos\omega_-(\tau-\tau_-),\\ \Sigma^{t\varphi}(\tau) &= n^\sigma_+ \sin\omega_+(\tau-\tau_+) + n^\sigma_- \sin\omega_-(\tau-\tau_-). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The perturbations, in particular those in the radial direction, have double periods. Hence the periastron and apastron will behave in a complicated way, as the body reaches different minimal or maximal radial distances at non-constant intervals. However, in the limit $B \ll A^2$ the dominant frequency will be $\omega_+ \simeq \sqrt{A}$, and the variations in the periastron and apastron will be relatively slow. An example for the case of Schwarzschild geometry ($Q = 0$) is given in figure 1, where we have plotted the radial variation as a function of proper time for a circular reference orbit $R = 10M$ with orbital angular momentum $\ell = 4M$ and for deviation parameters

$$n^r_+ = 0.1R, \quad n^r_- = 0.05R, \quad \tau_+ - \tau_- = 100M. \tag{48}$$



Fig. 1: Radial deviation from circular orbit with $R = 10M$ and $\ell = 4M$ as a function of proper time in Schwarzschild space-time for deviation parameters as in eq. (48).

As we have obtained the non-circular orbits (47) under the conditions that the specific energy and total angular momentum are the same as those of the circular reference orbits, the conservation laws (19) and (21) for the spin-dipole components link the variations $\delta\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$

of these quantities to those of the orbital parameters

$$\delta\Sigma^{r\varphi} = F\delta u^{\varphi} + G\,\frac{\delta r}{R}, \qquad \delta\Sigma^{tr} = K\delta u^{t} + L\,\frac{\delta r}{R}, \tag{49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -mR, \qquad G = -\frac{m}{R}\left(\eta + R^2 u^{\varphi}\right), \\ K &= \frac{mR^3}{MR - Q^2}\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} + \frac{Q^2}{R^2}\right), \\ L &= \frac{mR^4}{(MR-Q^2)^2}\left[\left(\frac{2M}{R}\left(1 - \frac{M}{R} + \frac{2Q^2}{R^2}\right) - \frac{Q^2}{R^2}\left(3 + \frac{Q^2}{R^2}\right)\right)u^t \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{2M}{R} - \frac{3Q^2}{R^2}\right)\varepsilon + \frac{qQ}{mR}\left(\frac{M}{R} - \frac{2Q^2}{R^2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

As a result

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Sigma^{r\varphi} &= N_+^{r\varphi}\cos\omega_+(\tau - \tau_+) + N_-^{r\varphi}\cos\omega_-(\tau - \tau_-), \\ \delta\Sigma^{tr} &= N_+^{tr}\cos\omega_+(\tau - \tau_+) + N_-^{tr}\cos\omega_-(\tau - \tau_-), \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

with

$$N_\pm^{r\varphi} = \omega_\pm F n_\pm^{\varphi} + G\,\frac{n_\pm^r}{R}, \qquad N_\pm^{tr} = \omega_\pm K n_\pm^{t} + L\,\frac{n_\pm^r}{R}. \tag{52}$$

Thus we have obtained a large class of non-circular planar orbits (to first order in the deviations), parametrised by the constants $C_\pm$ and the radial co-ordinate R of the circular orbit with the same specific energy and total angular momentum.

7 The ISCO

In black-hole space-times there is an innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) at a specific distance from the horizon. For simple point masses in Schwarzschild space-time this orbit is located at $R = 6M$. Here the effective potential has a flex point where the orbital angular momentum reaches a minimum of $\ell^2 = 12M^2$. Circular orbits at values $R < 6M$ are possible in principle but not in practice, as they correspond to maxima of the effective potential rather than minima; thus they are unstable under small perturbations.

The presence of spin alters the stability conditions and therefore the location of the ISCO. The stability conditions for circular orbits can be derived directly from the analysis in the previous section. Indeed, circular orbits are stable as long as the planar deviations display oscillatory behaviour. In contrast, whenever the frequency ω of these deviations develops an imaginary part the radial motion displays exponential behaviour and the orbit becomes unstable [30, 31]. Now the frequencies of the deviations are solutions of the eigenvalue equation (44). Thus we must ask what is the parameter domain in which the

eigenvalues are real, and especially where the boundary between stability and instability is located. The first condition is obviously for $\omega_\pm^2$ to be real; this requires

$$A^2 - 4B \geq 0. \tag{53}$$

In addition, for the frequencies $\omega_\pm$ themselves to be real as well we must demand that $\omega_\pm^2 \geq 0$, which happens if

$$A \geq 0, \qquad B \geq 0. \tag{54}$$

Fig. 2 shows the solutions of these inequalities for the case of Schwarzschild space-time in terms of the allowed values of the dimensionless radial co-ordinate R/M and of the orbital angular momentum per unit of mass

$$\ell = R^2 u^\varphi. \tag{55}$$

The shaded area corresponds to stable circular orbits. As we have established in sect. 5 that any circular orbit is determined for a given background geometry by the parameters R and J —which fix u^φ, u^t and E— the allowed orbits for fixed R/M and various ℓ/M in figure 2 differ in the values of $\eta = J/m$. Equivalently they differ in the value of the spin per unit of mass parametrized by the dimensionless variable

$$\frac{\sigma}{M} = \frac{R\Sigma^{r\varphi}}{mM}. \tag{56}$$



Fig. 2: Allowed domains of radius R/M and orbital angular momentum ℓ/M for plane circular orbits in Schwarzschild space-time. Included are four curves labeled *f, g, h, p* defining orbits of fixed spin per unit of mass σ for retrograde, vanishing and prograde spin $\sigma/M = (-0.5, 0, 0.5, 0.7)$.

We have also indicated in figure 2 several curves of constant spin. The curves *g, f, h* represent iso-spin lines for spin $\sigma = 0$, for retrograde spin $\sigma = -0.5M$ and for prograde spin $\sigma = 0.5M$, respectively. In each case the ISCO is defined by the value of R/M where the curve crosses the line $B = 0$. For vanishing spin this is at the well-known value $R = 6M$, for prograde spin it is at a lower value of R and for retrograde spin at a higher value of R. There actually is a smallest ISCO $R \simeq 4.5M$ for $\sigma \simeq 0.55M$, where the curve $B = 0$ reaches a minimum value of orbital angular momentum.

For higher spin values the ISCO is reached at the point where the iso-spin curves cross the line $A^2 - 4B = 0$; an example is the curve labeled p corresponding to $\sigma = 0.7M$. In general such high values of σ/M are possible only if the masses m and M of the test particle and the black hole creating the background become comparable. Of course the back reaction of the test mass can then no longer be ignored and our estimates of the ISCO become unreliable.

By calculating the values of the spin parameter σ/M on the lines separating regions of stable and unstable orbits we have extracted the values of R for the ISCO as a function of σ/M in Schwarzschild space-time. The result is represented by the continuous curve labeled R_{isco} in figure 3. The two branches correspond to lower-spin ISCOs on the curve $B = 0$ and higher-spin ISCOs on the curve $A^2 - 4B = 0$, respectively. These results agree qualitatively with other studies in the literature based on the conventional Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon approach [30, 32].

The iso-spin curves in figure 2 for lower-spin values, corresponding to the left-hand branch in figure 3, also suggest that the circular orbits become unstable when the orbital angular momentum reaches its minimum as a function of radial distance for contant σ.



Fig. 3: Radius of the ISCO: R_{isco}/M, as a function of spin σ/M for Schwarzschild space-time (continuous curve) compared with the radius of minimal orbital angular momentum $R_{\rm min}/M$ at fixed spin (dashed curve).

This issue can be analysed more precisely by returning to eq. (37) and rewriting it in the form

$$\frac{\sigma R}{M^2}\left(\frac{2R}{M}+\frac{\ell^2}{M^2}\right)=\frac{\ell}{M}\left(\frac{R}{M}-2\right)\left[\frac{R^2}{M^2}-\frac{\ell^2}{M^2}\left(\frac{R}{M}-3\right)\right]. \tag{57}$$

From this equation one can derive the minimum of ℓ/M as a function of R/M for fixed spin σ. The result is plotted as the dashed curve labeled R_{min} in figure 3. Over the range of predominant physical interest $-0.5 < \sigma/M < 0.5$ the curve nearly coincides with that for R_{isco}. However there are small differences for larger absolute spin values, reminiscent of those found in higher-order PN-corrections for compact binaries [31]. Note that the parts of the dashed curve for large retrograde spin actually enter the region of instability, hence do not correspond to stable circular orbits.



Fig. 4: Stable circular orbits of a chargeless spinning particle in Reissner-Nordstrøm space-time with $Q/M = 0.5$.

A similar analysis can be done for the case of a spinning test-particle in a Reissner-Nordstrøm background. Of course one has two more parameters to account for: the charge Q of the central black hole and the charge q of the test particle. Figure 4 shows the stability region of circular orbits of electrically neutral spinning test particles for a black hole of charge $Q = 0.5M$, including the curve representing the ℓ-R-relation for circular orbits of a spin-0 particle. In figure 5 the radial co-ordinate of the ISCO is given as a function of spin for the same black-hole background geometry with $Q = 0.5M$. We observe that the ISCOs are located closer to the horizon: $R_{hor} \simeq 1.87M$, and that with this value of the

black-hole charge the minimal ISCO is reached at a somewhat smaller spin value than in the Schwarzschild case: $\sigma_{min} \simeq 0.53M$.



Fig. 5: R_{isco}/M as a function of σ/M for Reissner-Norstrøm space-time with $Q/M = 0.5$.

8 A non-minimal hamiltonian

In the presence of external fields spinning particles can be subject to spin-dependent forces coupling to gradients in the fields like the well-known Stern-Gerlach force [33, 21, 34, 35] in electrodynamics. Such forces can be modeled in our approach by additional spin-dependent terms in the hamiltonian:

$$H = H_0 + H_{SG}, \qquad H_{SG} = \frac{\kappa}{4} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2} F_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}. \tag{58}$$

Using this non-minimal hamiltonian in the brackets to construct equations of motion we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_\mu = m g_{\mu\nu} u^\nu, \\ &m g_{\mu\nu} D_\tau u^\nu = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda} R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} u^\nu + q F_{\mu\nu} u^\nu - \frac{\kappa}{4}\Sigma^{\rho\sigma}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}\nabla_\mu R_{\rho\sigma\kappa\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}\nabla_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

and

$$D_\tau \Sigma^{\mu\nu} = \left(\kappa\Sigma^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\ \lambda}^{\ \mu} + \lambda F^\mu_{\ \lambda}\right)\Sigma^{\nu\lambda} - \left(\kappa\Sigma^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\ \lambda}^{\ \nu} + \lambda F^\nu_{\ \lambda}\right)\Sigma^{\mu\lambda}. \tag{60}$$

Remarkably, using eqs. (15, 16) and the Bianchi identities for $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}$ it is straightforward to generalize the theorem of ref. [11], that any constant of motion (13) remains a

constant of motion in the presence of Stern-Gerlach forces:

$$\begin{aligned}\{J, H_{SG}\} &= \kappa\, \Sigma^{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\rho\sigma}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\,\alpha^{\lambda}\nabla_{\lambda}R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} + R_{\rho\sigma\mu}{}^{\lambda}\beta_{\lambda\nu}\right) \\ &\quad +\lambda\,\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\,\alpha^{\lambda}\nabla_{\lambda}F_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}\beta_{\lambda\nu}\right) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

In particular in Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstrøm backgrounds the energy E, eq. (19), and all three components of the full total angular momentum $\mathbf{J}$, eqs. (20), remain constants of motion for spinning neutral and charged particles.

The existence of these constants of motion enable us to perform a similar analysis of orbits as in the case of the minimal hamiltonian. As an example we solve for plane circular orbits in a Schwarzschild background similar to those discussed in section 5 for the minimal case. Again we take the plane of the orbit to be the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$ with $u^{\theta} = u^{r} = 0$ and $\Sigma^{\theta\nu} = 0$ for all values of ν. Then the conservation laws reduce to the same form as in eqs. (19) and (21):

$$E = m\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)u^{t} - \frac{M}{R^2}\,\Sigma^{tr}, \quad J = mR^2u^{\varphi} + R\Sigma^{r\varphi}.$$

The hamiltonian constraint now reads

$$H = H_0 + H_{SG} = -\frac{m}{2}, \tag{62}$$

which becomes

$$-\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)u^{t\,2} + R^2u^{\varphi\,2} + 1 = -\frac{2\kappa M}{m}\left[\frac{2}{R^3}\,\Sigma^{tr\,2} + \frac{\Sigma^{r\varphi\,2}}{R-2M} - \frac{1}{R}\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)\Sigma^{t\varphi\,2}\right]. \tag{63}$$

Next the total spin is

$$I = -\Sigma^{tr\,2} - R^2\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)\Sigma^{t\varphi\,2} + \frac{R^2\Sigma^{r\varphi\,2}}{1 - \frac{2M}{R}}, \tag{64}$$

a constant. These constraints plus the vanishing of the radial acceleration: $\dot{u}^{r} = 0$ imply that the angular velocity and the time-dilation factor are constant, causing in fact $\Sigma^{t\varphi}$ to vanish:

$$\dot{u}^{t} = \dot{u}^{\varphi} = \Sigma^{t\varphi} = 0. \tag{65}$$

Then one finds a quartic equation for the angular velocity in terms of the radius R and the angular momentum $J = m\eta$, which generalizes eq. (37):

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)^2 Ru^{\varphi}\left[\frac{2M^2\eta}{R^3} - Mu^{\varphi} + M\eta u^{\varphi\,2} + \left(R^2 - 6MR + 6M^2\right)Ru^{\varphi\,3}\right] \\ &\qquad = \kappa mA + \kappa^2m^2B + \kappa^3m^3C,\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where the coefficients (A, B, C) are quartic polynomials in u^{φ} themselves; the explicit expressions are given in appendix C. After solving this equation for u^{φ} also the energy $E = m\varepsilon$ and the values of u^{t}, Σ^{tr} and $\Sigma^{r\varphi}$ can be determined.

9 Energy-momentum tensor

In the previous sections the equations of motion for a relativistic spinning particle were obtained starting from a closed set of brackets (5) and the choice of a hamiltonian. The same equations can be derived by energy-momentum conservation using an appropriate energy-momentum tensor [36, 37]. This tensor then also defines the source term in the Einstein equations to compute the back reaction of the particle on the space-time geometry; indeed, the Einstein equations require the energy momentum to be divergence-free

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\, g_{\mu\nu} R = -8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi \nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{67}$$

This identity is to be guaranteed by the equations of motion. For a neutral particle described by the minimal hamiltonian this follows by taking[2]

$$T_0^{\mu\nu} = m \int d\tau\, u^\mu u^\nu \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\, \delta^4\left(x - X\right) + \frac{1}{2}\, \nabla_\lambda \int d\tau \left(u^\mu \Sigma^{\nu\lambda} + u^\nu \Sigma^{\mu\lambda}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\, \delta^4\left(x - X\right). \tag{68}$$

The covariant divergence of $T_0^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu T_0^{\mu\nu} \;&=\; \int d\tau \left(m \frac{Du^\nu}{D\tau} - \frac{1}{2}\, \Sigma^{\kappa\lambda} R_{\kappa\lambda\ \mu}^{\ \ \nu} u^\mu \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\, \delta^4\left(x - X\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\, \nabla_\lambda \int d\tau \frac{D\Sigma^{\nu\lambda}}{D\tau}\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\, \delta^4\left(x - X\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

and vanishes upon applying the equations of motion (7, 8) with $q = 0$. Similarly, for a particle subject to the gravitational Stern-Gerlach force with the hamiltonian $H_0 + H_{SG}$ the correct expressions is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_0^{\mu\nu} + \kappa\, T_1^{\mu\nu}, \tag{70}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{\mu\nu} \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\, \nabla_\kappa \nabla_\lambda \int d\tau \left(\Sigma^{\mu\lambda}\Sigma^{\kappa\nu} + \Sigma^{\nu\lambda}\Sigma^{\kappa\mu}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\, \delta^4\left(x - X\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int d\tau\, \Sigma^{\rho\sigma} \left(R_{\rho\sigma\lambda}^{\ \ \ \nu} \Sigma^{\lambda\mu} + R_{\rho\sigma\lambda}^{\ \ \ \mu} \Sigma^{\lambda\nu}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\, \delta^4\left(x - X\right). \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

[2]We define the delta-function as a scalar density of weight 1/2, such that for scalar functions $f(x)$

$$\int d^4y\, \delta^4(x-y) f(y) = f(x).$$

Again performing standard operations from tensor calculus including Ricci- and Bianchi-identities leads to the result

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu T_1^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{4}\int d\tau\, \nabla^\nu R_{\rho\sigma\kappa\lambda}\,\Sigma^{\rho\sigma}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\delta^4\left(x-X\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_\lambda \int d\tau\,\Sigma^{\rho\sigma}\left(R_{\rho\sigma\kappa}{}^{\lambda}\Sigma^{\kappa\nu} - R_{\rho\sigma\kappa}{}^{\nu}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\delta^4\left(x-X\right).\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Combining this with the expression (69) for $\nabla_\mu T_0^{\mu\nu}$ it follows that the divergence of the full energy-momentum tensor vanishes

$$\nabla_\mu\left(T_0^{\mu\nu} + \kappa T_1^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0, \tag{73}$$

provided the non-minimal equations of motion (59, 60) hold. Finally, one can also take into account the electro-magnetic Lorentz- and Stern-Gerlach forces by additional contributions

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{em} = F_\mu{}^\lambda F_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}\,g_{\mu\nu}F_{\kappa\lambda}F^{\kappa\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\,g_{\mu\nu}\int d\tau F_{\kappa\lambda}\,\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\delta^4\left(x-X\right). \tag{74}$$

10 Discussion and summary

In this paper we have developed a covariant hamiltonian framework for spinning particles in gravitational and electromagnetic background fields. One of its strong points is that it doesn't require an a priori choice of hamiltonian, hence it can be applied to a large variety of models of relativistic spin dynamics. We have discussed in particular the case of the minimal kinetic hamiltonian H_0 defined in eq. (6) and its extension with a Stern-Gerlach type interaction hamiltonian H_{SG} defined in eq. (58). Other extensions are possible in principle. For example, one could introduce more covariant tensor fields allowing general expressions of the form

$$H_{eff} = \frac{1}{2m}\,g^{\mu\nu}\pi_\mu\pi_\nu + K^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}\pi_\lambda\Sigma^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\,M_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\kappa\lambda}, \tag{75}$$

where $K^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}$ and $M_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}$ receive contributions from additional fields and/or geometric structures like torsion and curvature. Alternatively the equations of motion for spinning particles can be derived from the Einstein equations using appropriate energy-momentum tensors; we showed this explicitly for simple spinning particles with minimal or Stern-Gerlach dynamics.

We have applied our formalism to the case of spinning particles in Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstrøm backgrounds. We have found interesting new effects. For example the periastron of spinning particles in bound orbits is not only subject to an angular shift, but the point of closest approach shows radial variations as well. Also the radius of the innermost stable circular orbit changes with spin; over a wide range of spin values $-0.5M < \sigma < 0.5M$ it is quite close to the orbit of minimal orbital angular momentum,

but only for spinless particles the two actually coincide. In addition we find an absolute minimal ISCO $R \simeq 4.3M$ at an intermediate point between low and high spin values; beyond this the cross-over to instability occurs on a different branch of stability limits, but these values are in the regime where the back reaction of the spinning particle on the space-time geometry can no longer be neglected. For Extreme Mass Ratio binaries with typical mass ratios $m/M < 10^{-4}$ this limit is never reached and the ISCO coincides to good approximation with the circular orbit of minimal orbital angular momentum.

With the formalism in hand there are still many open problems and applications to be studied. First of all it would be interesting to consider the effect of spin on the emission of gravitational waves, as well as the back reaction of gravitational radiation on the spin dynamics. But also the generalization of our results to the case of non-minimal hamiltonians and to Kerr backgrounds with the associated spin-spin coupling may have important astrophysical applications. Finally our approach is based on the idealization of compact spinning bodies as point-like test particles. It may be refined to apply to finite-size bodies by including higher mass multipoles. All of this is left for future investigation.

Acknowledgement
For G.d'A. and J.W.v.H. this work is part of the research programme *Gravitational Physics* of the Netherlands Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM).

A Reissner-Nordstrøm geometry

In this appendix we collect the expressions for the components of the connection and Riemann curvature tensor used in the main body of the paper.
a. Connection. From the line element (17) one derives the following expressions for the connection coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{rt}{}^{t} = -\Gamma_{rr}{}^{r} = \frac{Mr - Q^2}{r(r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2)},\\
&\Gamma_{tt}{}^{r} = \frac{1}{r^5}\left(Mr - Q^2\right)\left(r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2\right),\\
&\Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}{}^{r} = \sin^2\theta\,\Gamma_{\theta\theta}{}^{r} = -\frac{\sin^2\theta}{r}\left(r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2\right),\\
&\Gamma_{r\theta}{}^{\theta} = \Gamma_{r\varphi}{}^{\varphi} = \frac{1}{r},\\
&\Gamma_{\theta\varphi}{}^{\varphi} = \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}, \qquad \Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}{}^{\theta} = -\sin\theta\,\cos\theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

b. Curvature components. The corresponding curvature two-form $R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}\,dx^{\kappa}\wedge dx^{\lambda}$ has components:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{tr} &= \frac{1}{r^4}\left(2Mr - 3Q^2\right)dt\wedge dr,\\
R_{t\theta} &= -\frac{1}{r^4}\left(Mr - Q^2\right)\left(r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2\right)dt\wedge d\theta,\\
R_{t\varphi} &= -\frac{1}{r^4}\left(Mr - Q^2\right)\left(r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2\right)\sin^2\theta\,dt\wedge d\varphi,\\
R_{r\theta} &= \frac{Mr - Q^2}{r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2}\,dr\wedge d\theta,\\
R_{r\varphi} &= \frac{Mr - Q^2}{r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2}\,\sin^2\theta\,dr\wedge d\varphi,\\
R_{\theta\varphi} &= -\left(2Mr - Q^2\right)\sin^2\theta\,d\theta\wedge d\varphi.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

B Coefficients of the deviation equations

The deviation equations for planar orbits of spinning particles in Reissner-Nordstrøm spacetime w.r.t. a reference circular orbit $r = R$ can be written in the form (41). Here we give the expressions for the coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{MR - Q^2}{R^3}\, u^\varphi, \qquad & b &= \frac{(2MR - 3Q^2)(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2))}{R^3(MR - Q^2)}\, u^t, \\
c &= -\frac{(MR - Q^2)mu^t}{R^2(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}, & d &= \frac{R^2 m u^\varphi}{MR - Q^2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{78}
$$

and furthermore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \frac{(2MR^2(R-M) - RQ^2(3R - 4M) - Q^4)}{R(MR - Q^2)(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}\, u^t \\
&\quad - \frac{R(2MR - 3Q^2)}{(MR - Q^2)(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}\, \varepsilon + \frac{qQ}{m} \frac{MR - 2Q^2}{(MR - Q^2)(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}, \\
\beta &= -\frac{(MR - Q^2)}{mR^2}\, u^\varphi, \\
\gamma &= \frac{2R^2 - 5MR + 3Q^2}{R(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}\, u^\varphi + \frac{(MR - Q^2)}{R^3(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}\, \eta, \\
\zeta &= -\frac{(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)(MR - Q^2)}{mR^6}\, u^t, \\
\kappa &= -\frac{(2MR - 3Q^2)(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}{R^3(MR - Q^2)}\, \varepsilon + \frac{qQ}{m} \frac{(MR - 2Q^2)(R^2 - 2MR + Q^2)}{R^4(MR - Q^2)} \\
\lambda &= \frac{2(MR^2 - Q^2(2R - M))}{MR - Q^2}\, u^\varphi - \frac{MR - Q^2}{R^3}\, \eta,
\end{aligned}
\tag{79}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu &= -\frac{2M^2R^3(R-3M)-3MR^2Q^2(2R-7M)+RQ^4(3R-20M)+6Q^6}{R^4(MR-Q^2)^2} \\
&\quad +\frac{2M^2R^3(R-4M)-2MR^2Q^2(3R-14M)+RQ^4(3R-28M)+9Q^6}{R^4(MR-Q^2)^2}\,\varepsilon u^t \\
&\quad -\frac{qQ}{m}\frac{2M^2R^3(R-3M)-MR^2Q^2(7R-24M)+RQ^4(4R-25M)+8Q^6}{R^5(MR-Q^2)^2}\,u^t \\
&\quad +\frac{M^2(R^2-Q^2)-2MRQ^2+2Q^4}{(MR-Q^2)^2}\,u^{\varphi\,2}+\frac{2MR-3Q^2}{R^4}\,\eta u^\varphi, \\
\nu &= \frac{R^2(R-M)(R-3M)+2RQ^2(R-M)}{(MR-Q^2)(R^2-2MR+Q^2)}\,mu^\varphi+\frac{MR-Q^2}{R^2(R^2-2MR+Q^2)}\,m\eta, \\
\sigma &= \frac{R(R-M)(R^2-3MR+2Q^2)}{(MR-Q^2)(R^2-2MR+Q^2)}\,mu^t-\frac{R^2}{MR-Q^2}\,m\varepsilon+\frac{qQR}{MR-Q^2}, \\
\chi &= \frac{(MR^2-Q^2(2R-M))(R^2(R^2-4MR+5M^2)+2Q^2(R^2-3MR)+2Q^4)}{(MR-Q^2)^2(R^2-2MR+Q^2)^2}\,mu^\varphi u^t \\
&\quad -\frac{MR^2(3R-4M)-Q^2R(4R-7M)-2Q^4}{R^3(R^2-2MR+Q^2)^2}\,m\eta u^t \\
&\quad -\frac{R(MR-2Q^2)}{(MR-Q^2)^2}m\varepsilon u^\varphi-\frac{qQ^3u^\varphi}{(MR-Q^2)^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course, the corresponding expressions for Schwarzschild space-time are obtained automatically by taking $Q=0$.

C Circular orbits with non-minimal hamiltonian

In section 8 we derived an equation for circular orbits for test particles in the presence of Stern-Gerlach interactions, eq. (66). In this appendix we give the explicit expressions for the quantities A, B and C, which are polynomials in the angular velocity velocity u^φ for given values of radius R and angular momentum per unit of mass η. They read as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &= \frac{M(\eta - R^2 u^\varphi)}{R^2}\left\{\frac{6M^2\eta}{R^3}\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}\right)\left(2-\frac{m}{M}\right)\right. \\
&\quad -4Mu^\varphi\left[3\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}\right)\left(1-\frac{M}{R}-\frac{m}{2R}\right)+\frac{M\eta^2}{R^3}\left(1-\frac{3M}{R}\right)\right] \\
&\quad +\eta R u^{\varphi\,2}\left[\frac{2M}{R}\left(7-\frac{16M}{R}\right)-\frac{3m}{M}\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}\right)\left(1-\frac{4M}{R}+\frac{6M^2}{R^2}\right)\right] \\
&\quad \left.+12R^3u^{\varphi\,3}\left[1-\frac{49M}{6R}+\frac{19M^2}{R^2}-\frac{13M^3}{R^3}+\frac{m}{4M}\left(1-\frac{6M}{R}+\frac{14M^2}{R^2}-\frac{12M^3}{R^3}\right)\right]\right\}, \\
B &= \frac{12M(\eta - R^2 u^\varphi)^2}{R^3}\left\{\frac{3M^2}{R^2}\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}\right)+\frac{2M^3\eta^2}{R^5}\right. \\
&\quad -\frac{M\eta}{R}u^\varphi\left[\frac{M}{R}\left(5-\frac{6M}{R}\right)-\frac{3m}{M}\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}\right)^2\right] \\
&\quad \left.-MRu^{\varphi\,2}\left[3-\frac{20M}{R}+\frac{26M^2}{R^2}+\frac{3m}{M}\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}\right)^2\right]\right\}, \\
C &= \frac{72M^3(\eta - R^2 u^\varphi)^4}{R^7}\left[\frac{M}{R}-\frac{3m}{2M}\left(1-\frac{2M}{R}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}
$$

References

[1] W. de Sitter, "Einstein's theory of gravitation and its astronomical consequences, First Paper," *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **76** (1916) 699–728.

[2] L. Thomas, "The motion of a spinning electron," *Nature* **117** (1926) 514.

[3] J. Frenkel, "Die Elektrodynamik des rotierenden Elektrons," *Z.Phys.* **37** (1926) 243–262.

[4] M. Mathisson, "Neue mechanik materieller systeme," *Acta Phys.Polon.* **6** (1937) 163–2900.

[5] A. Papapetrou, "Spinning test particles in general relativity. 1.," *Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond.* **A209** (1951) 248–258.

[6] V. Fock and D. Ivanenko, "Über eine mögliche geometrische Deutung der relativistischen Quantentheorie," *Z.Phys.* **54** (1929) 798–802.

[7] W. Dixon, "Dynamics of extended bodies in general relativity. I. Momentum and angular momentum," *Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond.* **A314** (1970) 499–527.

[8] R. M. Wald, "Gravitational spin interaction," *Phys.Rev.* **D6** (1972) 406–413.

[9] A. J. Hanson and T. Regge, "The Relativistic Spherical Top," *Annals Phys.* **87** (1974) 498.

[10] O. Semerak, "Spinning test particles in a Kerr field. I.," *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **308** (1999) 863–875.

[11] G. d'Ambrosi, S. Satish Kumar, and J. W. van Holten, "Covariant hamiltonian spin dynamics in curved space-time," *Phys. Lett.* **B743** (2015) 478–483, `arXiv:1501.04879 [gr-qc]`.

[12] G. Schaefer, "Gravitomagnetic effects," *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **36** (2004) 2223, `arXiv:gr-qc/0407116 [gr-qc]`.

[13] K. Kyrian and O. Semerak, "Spinning test particles in a Kerr field. II.," *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **382** (2007) 1922–1932.

[14] R. Plyatsko, O. Stefanyshyn, and M. Fenyk, "Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations in the Schwarzschild and Kerr backgrounds," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **28** (2011) 195025, `arXiv:1110.1967 [gr-qc]`.

[15] J. Steinhoff, "Canonical formulation of spin in general relativity," *Annalen Phys.* **523** (2011) 296–353, `arXiv:1106.4203 [gr-qc]`.

[16] L. F. O. Costa, J. Natario, and M. Zilhao, "Spacetime dynamics of spinning particles - exact gravito-electromagnetic analogies," arXiv:1207.0470 [gr-qc].

[17] I. Khriplovich, "Spinning Relativistic Particles in External Fields," arXiv:0801.1881 [gr-qc].

[18] I. Khriplovich and A. Pomeransky, "Equations of motion of spinning relativistic particle in external fields," *Surveys High Energ.Phys.* **14** (1999) 145–173, arXiv:gr-qc/9809069 [gr-qc].

[19] I. Khriplovich and A. Pomeransky, "Equations of motion of spinning relativistic particle in external fields," *J.Exp.Theor.Phys.* **86** (1998) 839–849, arXiv:gr-qc/9710098 [gr-qc].

[20] I. Khriplovich and A. Pomeransky, "Gravitational interaction of spinning bodies, center-of-mass coordinate and radiation of compact binary systems," *Phys.Lett.* **A216** (1996) 7, arXiv:gr-qc/9602004 [gr-qc].

[21] I. Khriplovich, "Particle with internal angular momentum in a gravitational field," *Sov.Phys.JETP* **69** (1989) 217–219.

[22] F. Pirani, "On the Physical significance of the Riemann tensor," *Acta Phys.Polon.* **15** (1956) 389–405.

[23] F. Berezin and M. Marinov, "Particle Spin Dynamics as the Grassmann Variant of Classical Mechanics," *Annals Phys.* **104** (1977) 336.

[24] L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia, and P. S. Howe, "A Lagrangian Formulation of the Classical and Quantum Dynamics of Spinning Particles," *Nucl.Phys.* **B118** (1977) 76.

[25] J. W. van Holten and R. H. Rietdijk, "Symmetries and motions in manifolds," *J. Geom. Phys.* **11** (1993) 559, arXiv:hep-th/9205074 [hep-th].

[26] D. Bini, A. Geralico, and R. T. Jantzen, "Spin-geodesic deviations in the Schwarzschild spacetime," *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **43** (2011) 959, arXiv:1408.4946 [gr-qc].

[27] G. Koekoek and J. W. van Holten, "Epicycles and Poincaré Resonances in General Relativity," *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 064041, arXiv:1011.3973 [gr-qc].

[28] G. Koekoek and J. W. van Holten, "Geodesic deviations: modeling extreme mass-ratio systems and their gravitational waves," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28** (2011) 225022, arXiv:1103.5612 [gr-qc].

[29] R. Kerner, J. W. van Holten, and R. Colistete, Jr., "Relativistic epicycles: Another approach to geodesic deviations," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) 4725–4742, arXiv:gr-qc/0102099 [gr-qc].

[30] S. Suzuki and K. Maeda, "Innermost Stable Orbit of a Spinning Particle in Kerr Spacetime," *Phys. Rev.* **D58** (1998) 023005.

[31] L. Blanchet and B. R. Iyer, "Third postNewtonian dynamics of compact binaries: Equations of motion in the center-of-mass frame," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 755, arXiv:gr-qc/0209089 [gr-qc].

[32] E. Hackmann, C. Lämmerzahl, Y. Obukhov, D. Puetzfeld, and I. Schaffer, "Motion of spinning test bodies in Kerr spacetime," *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 064035, arXiv:1408.1773v2 [gr-qc].

[33] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, and L. Lusanna, "Supersymmetries and the Pseudoclassical Relativistic electron," *Nuovo Cim.* **A35** (1976) 377.

[34] J. W. van Holten, *Theory of a charged spinning particle in a gravitational and electro-magnetic field.* in: Proc. Seminar on Math. Structures in Field Theory 1986-87, CWI-syllabus vol. 26, eds. E.A. de Kerf and H.G.J. Pijls (CWI, Amsterdam), 1990.

[35] J. W. van Holten, "On the electrodynamics of spinning particles," *Nucl.Phys.* **B356** (1991) 3–26.

[36] J. Steinhoff and D. Puetzfeld, "Multipolar equations of motion for extended test bodies in General Relativity," *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 044019, arXiv:0909.3756 [gr-qc].

[37] J. W. van Holten, "Spinning bodies in General Relativity," arXiv:1504.04290 [gr-qc].